The Lighthouse Journal of Social Sciences, Vol. 05, Issue 01, Summer 2026, pp. 158-174

Benazir Bhutto's Negotiated Leadership: Political Power, Democratic Mobilization, and Women's Leadership in Pakistan

Humera Ambareen[1], **Sharafat Jamala**[2], **Umme E Farwa**[3]

ABSTRACT

Benazir Bhutto holds a distinctive position in Pakistan's political history and in the broader context of women's political leadership. As the first woman to serve as Prime Minister of Pakistan, she expanded the symbolic possibilities for women's participation in national political leadership and emerged as a central figure in the struggle for civilian democratic governance. Bhutto's political career unfolded within an institutional environment marked by constitutional constraints, political polarization, civil–military tensions, and competing centers of authority. While existing scholarship has examined Bhutto in relation to democracy, gender, political inheritance, and institutional constraints, these dimensions are frequently analyzed in isolation. This paper addresses this gap by examining Bhutto's leadership through the interconnected dimensions of democratic legitimacy, political resilience, political mobilization, women's political representation, institutional negotiation, and governance. Utilizing a qualitative, document-based research design, the study draws on Bhutto's autobiographical account, historical and political scholarship, research on women and political leadership, and theoretical literature on power and political mobilization. The analysis is principally informed by Lukes's multidimensional conception of power as well as Tarrow's and Tilly's approaches to political mobilization. The findings indicate that Bhutto's effectiveness as a political leader depended not only on electoral legitimacy and popular support but also on her capacity to negotiate relationships with political parties, constitutional offices, state institutions, and competing centers of power. Her return from exile, electoral victory in 1988, two premierships, and continued political relevance demonstrate significant political resilience and mobilization capacity. However, institutional constraints, coalition challenges, and governance difficulties limited her ability to convert political resources into sustained governing authority. The study concludes that Bhutto's leadership is best understood through the dynamic interaction of political legitimacy, gender representation, political resilience, mobilization, and institutional negotiation.

Keywords: *Benazir Bhutto, political leadership, democracy, women political leaders, political resilience, political mobilization, Pakistan, institutional negotiation, political legitimacy*

INTRODUCTION

[1]Research Officer at Institute of Regional Studies, Islamabad. Email: humera.ambareen@gmail.com
[2]English Language Teacher at Higher Education Academy of Research & Training, Peshawar, Corresponding Author's Email: jamalasharafat@gmail.com
[3]Alumnus, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto Women University Peshawar

Manuscript Submitted: June 25, 2026
Manuscript Accepted: June 30, 2026

Political leadership does not occur in isolation. Leaders function within constitutional frameworks, political parties, social structures, bureaucratic institutions, electoral systems, and multiple centers of authority. Therefore, political leadership cannot be evaluated solely by formal office or individual decision-making. It encompasses constructing legitimacy, mobilizing support, articulating political aspirations, negotiating institutional relationships, and sustaining influence during both opportunities and crises (Lukes, 2005; Foucault, 1978). This comprehensive perspective is especially pertinent to Pakistan, where civilian governments have consistently operated within an institutional context marked by instability, constitutional change, civil–military relations, and competition among state and political institutions (Jalal, 1995, 2014; Rizvi, 2000).

Benazir Bhutto serves as a significant case for examining these dimensions of political leadership. Her career integrated political inheritance, democratic mobilization, gendered representation, institutional negotiation, and notable resilience. As the daughter of Zulfikar Ali Bhutto and a prominent leader of the Pakistan Peoples Party (PPP), she inherited considerable political recognition and organizational resources. She endured imprisonment and exile, returned to Pakistan in 1986, mobilized widespread public support, became the country's first woman Prime Minister in 1988, served two terms, and remained a central political figure until her assassination in 2007 (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995). Her career thus enables analysis not only of a leader's success in governance but also of the processes by which political authority is constructed, exercised, contested, and maintained.

Bhutto's significance is particularly notable in the context of women's political leadership. Her election as Prime Minister marked a substantial transformation in the symbolic boundaries of political authority in Pakistan. Her leadership challenged prevailing assumptions about gender, political competence, cultural legitimacy, and executive authority (Paxton & Hughes, 2017). While this achievement did not remove the structural barriers faced by Pakistani women, nor does it alone indicate broader gender equality, her leadership expanded opportunities for women's participation in national executive politics. Scholarship on gender and politics further highlights the persistent influence of institutional and cultural constraints, as well as the importance of women's political representation (Basu, 2016; Critelli & Willett, 2013; Rai, 2002; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009).

The democratic significance of Bhutto's career is also considerable. Her political ascent followed the military regime of General Zia-ul-Haq. Her return to Pakistan in 1986 demonstrated the ePPP's enduring mobilizational strength and established her as a leading opposition figure. Her electoral victory in 1988 transformed her status from opposition leader to elected head of government. This transition was notable because civilian electoral authority remained within a political system in which institutions retained significant influence (Jalal, 1995; Rizvi, 2000). Bhutto thus assumed office with strong democratic legitimacy but without absolute political authority.

This institutional context is fundamental to understanding Bhutto's leadership. The constitutional framework from the Zia era, especially the presidential powers under Article 58(2)(b), imposed significant constraints on elected governments. Both of Bhutto's administrations were dismissed by presidential action, illustrating that electoral legitimacy did not ensure governmental stability. However, these institutional constraints do not fully explain governmental outcomes or render Bhutto's individual choices insignificant. Her leadership should instead be

analyzed in terms of the interplay between institutional conditions and her political strategies, decisions, and governance practices.

Although Bhutto has been widely studied in relation to democracy, gender, political inheritance, civil–military relations, and the PPP, these aspects are frequently considered in isolation. There has been less focus on how Bhutto's political resources—including electoral legitimacy, political inheritance, mass mobilization, and symbolic authority—were transformed into governing authority through engagement with competing political and state institutions. This study addresses this gap by analyzing Bhutto's leadership as a process of **institutional negotiation**.

Institutional negotiation encompasses the strategies Bhutto employed to exercise political authority while managing relationships with political parties, constitutional offices, parliamentary actors, state institutions, and other centers of power. The central argument is that Bhutto's leadership involved a continuous process in which political inheritance, bureaucratic legitimacy, mass mobilization, gender representation, and resilience were, to varying extents, transformed into governance. Her leadership was neither a converted simple democratic success nor a clear governmental failure. Instead, her career exemplifies the tension between holding political legitimacy and exercising effective governing power.

This study addresses three primary questions: How did Bhutto transform political inheritance, electoral legitimacy, and popular mobilization into governing authority? How did she negotiate political authority with Pakistan's political and state institutions during her two terms as Prime Minister? What institutional and political factors limited her ability to convert political legitimacy into effective governance? These questions integrate perspectives that are often considered separately. Historical scholarship offers institutional and political context (Jalal, 1995, 2014; Rizvi, 2000), gender scholarship explores women's political participation (Basu, 2016; Rai, 2002; Shaheed, 2016), and theories of power and mobilization provide frameworks for understanding political authority and collective action (Lukes, 2005; Tarrow, 2011; Tilly, 2004).

By integrating these perspectives, this paper examines Bhutto not only as a former Prime Minister but as a consequential political leader whose significance spans democratic, gendered, institutional, and symbolic dimensions. The analysis acknowledges both her political resilience and democratic contributions, as well as the institutional and governance constraints that shaped her administrations. This balanced approach situates Bhutto's leadership within the broader complexities of Pakistan's political development.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study utilizes a qualitative, single-case, document-based research **design** to analyze Benazir Bhutto's political leadership during her two terms as Prime Minister of Pakistan (1988–1990 and 1993–1996). A qualitative approach is appropriate because the research aims to interpret how political authority was constructed, exercised, and negotiated within Pakistan's political and institutional context, rather than to identify statistical relationships. The study is interpretive, focusing on patterns of leadership, political strategy, institutional interaction, and representation.

The study relies primarily on published documentary sources, including Bhutto's autobiography, *Daughter of the East* (1989), as well as historical and political scholarship on

Pakistan, research on women's political leadership, and theoretical literature on power and political mobilization. Key references include Jalal (1995, 2014), Lukes (2005), Paxton and Hughes (2017), Rai (2002), Tarrow (2011), and Tilly (2004). Recent scholarship on Bhutto's political trajectory, inheritance, and representation is also incorporated where relevant (Khosa & Anjum, 2026; Rasul et al., 2024; Waseem, 2025; Younis, 2026). Sources were purposively selected for their relevance to the research questions and their contribution to understanding political legitimacy, resilience, mobilization, gender, institutional negotiation, and governance.

The documentary material was analyzed thematically using five principal categories: political resilience, democratic legitimacy, political mobilization, women's leadership, and institutional negotiation. Institutional negotiation is the central analytical concept, referring to the strategies Bhutto used to exercise political authority while managing relationships with political parties, constitutional offices, parliamentary actors, state institutions, and other centers of power. Coalition management, party organization, civil–military relations, and governance are examined as related aspects of these broader categories. Thematic analysis thus provides the main analytical framework, rather than approaching the study as a chronological biography.

The analysis differentiates between Bhutto's two terms in government, 1988–1990 and 1993–1996, to identify continuities and changes in her leadership strategies, political relationships, and governance experiences. Her autobiography is interpreted alongside independent historical and scholarly sources, rather than accepted as an unquestioned factual account. This comparative approach addresses differences in perspective and potential source bias, supporting a more balanced interpretation of Bhutto's political leadership.

As a single-case, document-based study, this research does not aim to generalize statistically or to attribute political outcomes solely to Bhutto's individual decisions. It acknowledges that her leadership was influenced by broader institutional, political, and social contexts. The study's contribution is interpretive: it examines how political legitimacy, mobilization, gender, resilience, and institutional constraints interacted to shape Bhutto's leadership and her capacity to exercise governing authority.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Political leadership is conceptualized as a process in which political authority is constructed, exercised, negotiated, and sustained within specific institutional and social contexts. Leadership extends beyond the formal occupation of public office to encompass the construction of legitimacy, mobilization of political support, strategic decision-making, institutional negotiation, and the sustained exercise of political influence. This perspective is particularly relevant to analyzing Benazir Bhutto, whose political authority emerged before her entry into government and persisted during periods outside formal office. Her career exemplifies the progression from political resistance and mobilization to electoral legitimacy and executive authority. The central concept in this analysis is negotiated leadership, defined as the process by which a political leader transforms political legitimacy, popular support, organizational resources, and symbolic authority into effective political influence while navigating constitutional, institutional, political, and social constraints.

This framework is informed by Lukes's (2005) multidimensional conception of power, which extends the concept beyond direct decision-making to include the capacity to shape political agendas, influence perceptions, and define the boundaries of legitimate political action. This perspective is particularly relevant for understanding Bhutto, as her political influence preceded her formal authority as Prime Minister. Her ability to mobilize the Pakistan People's Party (PPP), maintain public support, articulate a democratic political narrative, and establish herself as a national political figure constituted significant forms of political power. Her 1988 election is thus interpreted not as the inception of her political authority, but as the institutionalization of legitimacy accumulated through prior political engagement. Furthermore, her role as Pakistan's first woman Prime Minister illustrates the symbolic dimension of power, challenging prevailing assumptions regarding legitimate occupants of national political authority.

Foucault's (1978) relational understanding of power further reinforces this framework by emphasizing that power is generated through interactions among political actors and institutions, rather than being permanently held by any single individual. This perspective is particularly valuable for interpreting Bhutto's tenure in government. While electoral legitimacy provided a significant source of authority, it did not negate the influence of other institutions and political actors. The presidency, Parliament, political parties, bureaucracy, and additional centers of institutional authority each possessed distinct resources and capacities. Bhutto's leadership, therefore, required ongoing negotiation among these various sources of power. This approach enables a balanced assessment of her career, considering both her agency and the institutional context in which it was exercised.

A key distinction within this framework is between political legitimacy and effective governing authority. Electoral success may confer democratic legitimacy, but it does not necessarily result in unrestricted governing capacity. Leaders must convert political support into coalition management, institutional coordination, administrative decision-making, and policy implementation. This distinction is particularly significant in evaluating Bhutto, as her political legitimacy and historical impact cannot be assessed solely through the performance of her governments. Her leadership accomplishments and the administrative or institutional challenges faced by her governments should be analyzed as related yet analytically distinct aspects of her political career. This approach allows for recognition of her political resilience and democratic contributions while acknowledging the complexities of governance.

The framework also draws on Tarrow (2011) and Tilly (2004), who highlight political opportunity, collective identity, organizational resources, and mobilization. These ideas explain how Bhutto turned resistance into organized participation. Her 1986 return to Pakistan, marked by widespread mobilization, showed that her authority was not dependent on formal office. Her experience became closely linked with the PPP's role in the democratic movement, helping transform political support into electoral legitimacy. Her 1988 victory marked the shift from mobilized influence to institutionalized authority.

Gender adds another dimension to negotiated leadership. Research shows that access to political authority depends not only on institutions but also on societal expectations about gender, cultural identity, morality, family, and public conduct (Basu, 2016; Paxton & Hughes, 2017; Rai, 2002; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009). Bhutto's leadership is notable because she held an office traditionally seen as masculine while managing expectations of female leadership. Butler's (1997)

analysis shows how public presentation, symbolism, and repeated leadership acts shape political identity. While Bhutto did not eliminate structural gender inequality, she expanded the symbolic and institutional possibilities for women's leadership in Pakistan.

Together, these perspectives establish negotiated leadership as a comprehensive framework for analyzing Bhutto's career across legitimacy, mobilization, negotiation, gender, and governance. This framework does not label her leadership as simply successful or unsuccessful. Instead, it recognizes her ability to turn political inheritance and resistance into mass support, legitimacy, and authority, while considering how she navigated complex institutional contexts. The analysis highlights her resilience, democratic leadership, pioneering role as a woman, and ability to mobilize support as key achievements, while placing governance challenges within the broader context of her leadership. This approach presents Bhutto as a significant leader who expanded democratic and women's participation in Pakistan.

ANALYSIS

Benazir Bhutto's political leadership is best understood as the result of interactions among political legitimacy, mass mobilization, gender, democratic authority, institutional negotiation, and political resilience. Her influence extended beyond her formal tenure as Prime Minister. Bhutto's career illustrates a sustained ability to convert political adversity into legitimacy, legitimacy into mass support, mass support into electoral authority, and electoral authority into executive leadership. However, her experience also reveals that electoral legitimacy does not necessarily confer unrestricted governing authority. Bhutto operated within a political system marked by multiple institutional centers, constitutional constraints, party competition, and entrenched gendered expectations regarding political leadership (Jalal, 1995, 2014; Rizvi, 2000; Waseem, 2025; Muneer & Aryal, 2026).

This analysis positions Bhutto as neither an unconstrained political actor nor a passive figure wholly shaped by institutions. Rather, she is understood as a political agent who continuously negotiated opportunities and constraints. Lukes's (2005) multidimensional conception of power elucidates how her influence extended beyond formal office, while Foucault's (1978) relational conception highlights the interplay between her authority and that of other political actors and institutions. Tarrow (2011) and Tilly (2004) further clarify how Bhutto transformed political identity into organized mobilization. Additionally, feminist scholarship demonstrates that her leadership was shaped by gendered expectations regarding women's political authority (Basu, 2016; Paxton & Hughes, 2017; Rai, 2002; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009).

From Political Inheritance to Independent Political Leadership

Bhutto entered politics with considerable political inheritance as the daughter of Zulfikar Ali Bhutto and a prominent member of the Pakistan People's Party (PPP). Her family name granted her access to an established political organization, extensive networks, and a recognizable constituency. These inherited resources were especially significant in a context where family connections facilitated entry into national politics (Paxton & Hughes, 2017). Bhutto's own account underscores the role of her family history in shaping her political consciousness and identity (Bhutto, 1989).

However, inheritance alone does not account for Bhutto's subsequent political achievements. While political lineage can facilitate entry into competition, it does not ensure sustained legitimacy, mass mobilization, or electoral success. Bhutto transformed her inherited political capital through imprisonment, exile, political campaigning, party leadership, and ongoing engagement in national politics (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995, 2014). As a result, she developed an identity that surpassed her status as the daughter of a former Prime Minister, emerging as the leader of the PPP and a national figure associated with democratic restoration.

Lukes's (2005) conception of power is instrumental in understanding this transformation, as political power extends beyond formal decision-making to include identities, agendas, perceptions, and expectations. Bhutto's influence thus predated her tenure in the executive office. While her political inheritance served as an initial resource, her subsequent activities transformed it into a more independent form of political legitimacy.

Political Adversity, Legitimacy and Mass Mobilization

Political adversity played a crucial role in shaping Bhutto's political legitimacy. After the overthrow of her father's government, she endured imprisonment, political restrictions, exile, and her father's execution (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995). These experiences became integral to a broader narrative about democracy, political participation, and the restoration of civilian authority.

Tarrow (2011) and Tilly (2004) argue that collective mobilization arises when individual grievances are linked to collective identities, organizational resources, and political opportunities. Bhutto's political trajectory exemplifies this dynamic. Her personal experiences gained political significance through their connection to the PPP's broader identity and organizational structure, transforming her adversity into a component of a collective political narrative.

Bhutto's return to Pakistan in 1986 demonstrated her capacity to translate political narrative into mass participation. The large public gatherings that marked her return indicated significant political influence, even in the absence of a formal office (Jalal, 1995). The PPP supplied organizational infrastructure, while Bhutto offered a recognizable political identity for supporters to rally around. Her return illustrated that political authority could be exercised through mobilization prior to formal electoral office.

The significance of this mobilization derives not only from the size of public gatherings but also from their political meaning. Bhutto effectively linked her personal political identity to a broader demand for participation, establishing a foundation for the subsequent electoral transition.

The 1988 Election and Democratic Authority

The 1988 general election represented the decisive transition from mobilization to formal democratic authority. The PPP emerged as the largest parliamentary party, and Bhutto subsequently became Prime Minister (Younis, 2026). The election institutionalized political legitimacy that had previously been expressed primarily through opposition, party organization, and public mobilization.

This transition is significant as it demonstrates that Bhutto's leadership extended beyond charismatic appeal. Electoral success depended on organizational capacity, effective

communication, constituency building, and the translation of public support into parliamentary representation. It also necessitated negotiation, as the PPP lacked an outright majority, requiring Bhutto to accommodate other political actors (Younis, 2026).

Bhutto's election exemplifies the concept of negotiated leadership. While political mobilization can generate electoral legitimacy, such legitimacy does not automatically confer unrestricted governing authority. Effective governance required coalition-building, institutional cooperation, and administrative capacity.

The election also played a significant role in Pakistan's broader democratic development. Jalal (1995, 2014) frames Pakistan's political history as marked by recurring tensions among democratic aspirations, political stability, and institutional authority, while Waseem (2025) highlights ongoing challenges to democratic consolidation. Bhutto's assumption of office thus represented both a personal achievement and a pivotal moment in the restoration of civilian electoral leadership.

Gender and the Transformation of Political Leadership

Bhutto's election as Pakistan's first woman Prime Minister endowed her leadership with significance that extended beyond the PPP. Her achievement challenged prevailing assumptions about who could exercise national executive authority. Feminist political scholarship shows that women's participation is shaped by expectations related to gender, morality, family, culture, and appropriate political behavior (Basu, 2016; Paxton & Hughes, 2017; Rai, 2002; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009).

However, Bhutto's achievement should not be viewed as evidence that gender inequality in Pakistan was eliminated. Research on women's movements indicates that significant social, political, and institutional barriers persisted (Critelli & Willett, 2013; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009). Her contribution was transformative in expanding political possibilities, demonstrating that a woman could lead a major party, mobilize a national constituency, secure electoral authority, and hold the country's highest elected office.

The distinction between symbolic and structural transformation is crucial. A woman attaining executive office does not immediately change the social conditions of millions of women. However, political representation can shift expectations about institutional possibilities. Bhutto set a precedent for female executive leadership within Pakistan's political and cultural context.

Gender was integral to Bhutto's construction of political legitimacy. Her identity encompassed her roles as a woman, a Pakistani, a Muslim, a member of a major political family, and a national leader. Butler's (1997) concept of political performance is instructive, as political identities are produced and reinforced through repeated public acts and representations. Bhutto's speeches, appearances, symbolism, and self-presentation as a Pakistani Muslim woman all contributed to her political authority.

Her leadership thus entailed negotiation rather than outright rejection of cultural expectations. She demonstrated that female political authority could be articulated within Pakistan's cultural and political context.

From Political Mobilization to Governance

The shift from opposition to government presented a fundamentally different leadership challenge. While political resistance can generate legitimacy through symbolism, collective identity, and mobilization, governance demands administrative coordination, coalition management, policy implementation, and institutional negotiation (Burki, 2015; Rizvi, 2000).

This distinction is essential for a balanced assessment of Bhutto. Achievements in mobilization should not be equated with administrative effectiveness, nor should governmental challenges overshadow her accomplishments in democratic mobilization, women's leadership, and political resilience.

Bhutto assumed office with considerable electoral legitimacy but faced a complex institutional environment. The presidency held substantial constitutional powers; Parliament included competing political forces; coalition politics necessitated accommodation; and established state institutions maintained distinct areas of authority (Jalal, 2014; Rizvi, 2000).

The constitutional framework played a critical role. Article 58(2)(b), introduced by the Eighth Amendment in 1985, authorized the President to dissolve the National Assembly and dismiss the government. President Ghulam Ishaq Khan dismissed Bhutto's first government in August 1990, and President Farooq Leghari dismissed her second in November 1996 (Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014). These events illustrate that an elected Prime Minister could hold significant democratic legitimacy while operating within a constitutional system that allocated substantial authority to other institutions.

This should not be reduced to a simple personal confrontation between Bhutto and the presidency. The same constitutional mechanism was later used against Nawaz Sharif, indicating it was a broader institutional feature of Pakistan's political system (Rizvi, 2000). Foucault's (1978) relational conception of power is thus particularly relevant, as authority emerges from relationships among institutions and actors with varying forms of power.ion. She was not simply constrained by political institutions; she actively attempted to exercise authority within them, build alliances, manage competing interests, and preserve her government's political legitimacy.

Coalition Politics, Party Leadership and Political Agency

The PPP was essential to Bhutto's political influence. It transformed individual leadership into organized participation by providing infrastructure for elections, rallies, parliamentary activity, and campaigns. Its connection to the Bhutto family also gave it a strong symbolic identity (Younis, 2026; Khosa & Anjum, 2026).

This relationship generated a tension between personalized political leadership and the development of institutionalized party structures. While personal leadership offered significant mobilizational resources during periods of political adversity, democratic institutionalization requires organizations that can function independently of individual personalities.

In Bhutto's case, this dynamic proved politically productive. Her personal legitimacy enabled the PPP to remain a major political force during both opposition and governance.

However, the persistent significance of family leadership underscores the broader challenge of balancing personal authority with organizational institutionalization.

Coalition politics similarly required Bhutto to balance political principles with pragmatic considerations. The lack of a parliamentary majority necessitated translating electoral legitimacy into effective governance through negotiation. Lukes's (2005) framework is relevant in this context, as political power does not always depend on direct command. Coalition-building, persuasion, agenda-setting, and accommodation also represent significant forms of political power.

Women's Representation and Political Contribution

Bhutto's contribution to women's leadership also had an institutional dimension. Research identifies initiatives associated with her government, including the First Women's Bank, which sought to improve women's economic participation (Critelli & Willett, 2013). Her governments also pursued measures affecting women's access to education, employment, and economic resources, although the scope and implementation of such initiatives require careful assessment (Burki, 2015; Critelli & Willett, 2013).

The broader literature indicates that significant gender inequality persisted (Basu, 2016; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009). It is therefore more accurate to argue that Bhutto created political and institutional opportunities for women's representation, rather than asserting that her governments fully transformed women's status.

This distinction enables recognition of her contribution without overstating the available evidence. Her achievement was both representational and political: her tenure in the highest executive office made women's political leadership visible nationally and established an institutional precedent for future generations.

The Second Premiership and Political Resilience

Bhutto's return to office in 1993 provides particularly strong evidence of political resilience. Her first government had been dismissed in 1990, yet she successfully reconstructed political support and returned as Prime Minister. Her political relevance, therefore, survived the loss of formal executive authority (Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014).

Her second government also demonstrates the continuing importance of institutional negotiation. Political strategy involved relationships with the presidency and other political actors, while the constitutional structure continued to limit the autonomy of elected government. Her second administration was eventually dismissed in 1996 under Article 58(2)(b) (Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014).

These experiences illustrate the interplay between agency and constraint. Bhutto demonstrated the capacity to recover from political defeat, yet she was unable to fully overcome the institutional structures governing her actions. This dynamic underscores the value of the negotiated leadership framework: political actors possess agency, but they exercise it within structures that shape and limit available choices.

Her resilience is therefore one of her most significant leadership achievements. She survived political exclusion, imprisonment, exile, electoral competition, dismissal from office, and renewed political challenges while remaining one of Pakistan's most recognizable political leaders (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995; Khosa & Anjum, 2026).

Leadership, Governance and Political Legacy

A balanced assessment requires separating Bhutto's achievements as a political leader from the limitations of her governments. Her achievements included mass mobilization, democratic participation, pioneering female executive leadership, political resilience, and the ability to maintain national political relevance. Her governments, meanwhile, faced challenges involving coalition management, governance, institutional relations, and political stability (Burki, 2015; Jalal, 2014; Rizvi, 2000; Waseem, 2025).

These categories should remain distinct. The historical significance of Bhutto's leadership lies in the transformation of political possibilities, whereas evaluating her government concerns the effectiveness of specific administrations. Acknowledging limitations does not diminish her achievements.

Her enduring political legacy further reinforces this distinction. Bhutto became a lasting symbol of democratic participation and women's political leadership, while the PPP remained closely linked to her political identity (Khosa & Anjum, 2026; Younis, 2026). Her assassination in 2007 intensified the symbolic dimension of her legacy. Rasul et al. (2024) illustrate how female political figures in South Asia are often represented through narratives of martyrdom, security, and sacrifice. However, Bhutto's significance extends beyond martyrdom, as her political importance was established through her roles as party leader, political mobilizer, elected Prime Minister, and pioneering woman in national executive politics.

Analytical Synthesis: Bhutto as a Negotiated Leader

The analysis demonstrates five interconnected dimensions of Bhutto's leadership.

First, she transformed political inheritance into legitimacy. Her family background provided important resources, but imprisonment, exile, political participation, and party leadership enabled her to construct an increasingly independent political identity (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995; Khosa & Anjum, 2026).

Second, she **transformed legitimacy into mobilization**. Her return to Pakistan in 1986 demonstrated her capacity to link political identity to collective action, while the PPP provided the organizational infrastructure needed for sustained political participation (Tarrow, 2011; Tilly, 2004).

Third, she transformed mobilization into democratic authority. The 1988 election converted political support into parliamentary representation and ultimately into executive office (Younis, 2026; Waseem, 2025).

Fourth, she transformed the meaning of women's political leadership. Her election did not eliminate structural gender inequality, but it expanded the institutional and symbolic possibilities

of female executive authority in Pakistan (Basu, 2016; Paxton & Hughes, 2017; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009).

Fifth, she demonstrated political resilience by reconstructing political relevance after the dismissal of her first government and returning to office in 1993 (Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014).

These achievements were continuously negotiated within a political system characterized by constitutional constraints, parliamentary competition, coalition politics, and multiple institutional centers of authority (Jalal, 2014; Rizvi, 2000; Muneer & Aryal, 2026). Her achievement was therefore not that she exercised unrestricted authority, but that she continued to exercise political agency within these constraints.

This concept captures the central meaning of negotiated leadership in Bhutto's case. Her career demonstrates that leadership in a contested political environment entails neither absolute autonomy nor total institutional determination. Instead, it requires the continual conversion of available political resources into influence while adapting to evolving political opportunities and constraints.

Bhutto's political inheritance became legitimacy; legitimacy became mobilization; mobilization became electoral authority; electoral authority became executive leadership; and political setbacks became opportunities for renewed political relevance. This sequence explains why her historical importance cannot be reduced to either an idealized celebration or a narrow assessment of governmental performance.

Ultimately, Bhutto's leadership was significant because she expanded the boundaries of political possibility in Pakistan. She demonstrated that a woman could lead a major national political party, mobilize large constituencies, win electoral authority, and occupy the highest elected executive office. At the same time, her career illustrates the complexity of converting political legitimacy into effective governance within a politically fragmented institutional environment.

Her leadership was thus neither an unqualified triumph nor a narrative of political failure. Rather, it represented a sustained process of adaptation, negotiation, mobilization, and resilience. Most importantly, Bhutto demonstrated that political authority can be exercised meaningfully even under constraint, and that leadership can reshape political expectations without dismantling the institutional structures within which politics occurs.

DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that Benazir Bhutto's political leadership is most effectively analyzed by examining the interplay among political legitimacy, mass mobilization, gender, institutional negotiation, and resilience. Her historical significance extends beyond the duration of her two premierships or the administrative record of her governments. Instead, her importance lies in her ability to construct and continually renew political authority in a complex political environment. Her career illustrates the transformation of political inheritance into legitimacy, legitimacy into mobilization, and mobilization into democratic and executive authority (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995, 2014; Lukes, 2005).

Bhutto began her political career with significant political inheritance as the daughter of Zulfikar Ali Bhutto and a prominent member of the Pakistan People's Party (PPP). However, her enduring political influence cannot be attributed solely to her family background. Through experiences of imprisonment, exile, public mobilization, party leadership, and electoral competition, she cultivated an increasingly independent political identity (Bhutto, 1989). The transformation of inherited political capital into personal political legitimacy emerged as a defining characteristic of her leadership.

Political adversity was instrumental in shaping this process. The experiences of Bhutto and her family became integrated into a broader political narrative centered on democratic participation and political resistance (Jalal, 1995). According to Lukes's (2005) multidimensional conception of power, Bhutto's influence extended beyond formal office. She established symbolic and mobilizational authority prior to becoming Prime Minister. Thus, her premiership did not generate her political influence but rather institutionalized the authority she had already acquired through political engagement.

Bhutto's return to Pakistan in 1986 exemplified her capacity for political transformation. The public response to her return highlighted her ability to convert political identity into collective political action. Tarrow (2011) and Tilly (2004) argue that successful mobilization relies on collective identity, organizational resources, political opportunities, and leadership. Bhutto's leadership bridged her personal political history with the PPP's organizational structure and a broader constituency seeking political participation. Consequently, the significance of her mobilization extended beyond public visibility, demonstrating her ability to translate symbolic legitimacy into organized political support.

The 1988 election marked a decisive transition from mobilization to formal democratic authority. The PPP became the largest parliamentary party, leading to Bhutto's appointment as Prime Minister (Younis, 2026). This achievement was both personal and institutional, demonstrating her capacity to convert political support into electoral representation and signifying a pivotal moment in Pakistan's ongoing democratic development (Jalal, 1995, 2014; Waseem, 2025).

Bhutto's election as Pakistan's first woman Prime Minister constituted a landmark achievement in her political career. This event challenged prevailing assumptions about the gender of national political authority and established a significant precedent for women's executive leadership. Feminist scholarship indicates that women's political participation is shaped by societal expectations regarding gender, morality, family, culture, and political behavior (Basu, 2016; Paxton & Hughes, 2017; Rai, 2002; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009). While Bhutto's achievement did not eliminate these structural barriers, it expanded the boundaries of political possibility by demonstrating that a woman could lead a major political party, mobilize a national constituency, secure electoral authority, and attain the highest elected office.

The distinction between symbolic and structural transformation is critical. Bhutto should not be portrayed as having resolved the broader challenges confronting Pakistani women, as significant gender inequalities persisted (Critelli & Willett, 2013; Shaheed, 2016; Zia, 2009). Her primary contribution was to establish a powerful precedent and render female executive leadership a recognized political possibility.

Simultaneously, Bhutto's experience illustrates the distinction between electoral legitimacy and governing authority. While electoral victory conferred democratic legitimacy, effective governance required coalition management, parliamentary cooperation, administrative capacity, and institutional negotiation. The post-1988 political configuration necessitated accommodation with other political actors, and the constitutional framework vested substantial authority in the presidency (Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014).

Foucault's (1978) relational conception of power provides a useful framework for understanding this environment. Authority is not permanently vested in a single actor or institution but functions through relationships among actors with varying forms of influence. Bhutto's experience thus reflected a broader characteristic of Pakistan's political development, in which elected governments operated within a system containing multiple institutional centers of authority (Jalal, 2014; Rizvi, 2000; Muneer & Aryal, 2026).

This context helps explain both the achievements and tensions of Bhutto's governments. Her political legitimacy was substantial, but translating legitimacy into effective governance was more difficult. Her governments faced challenges in coalition politics, governance, institutional relations, and political stability, and both premierships ended before the completion of their parliamentary terms (Burki, 2015; Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014). These limitations should be acknowledged without allowing them to overshadow her wider contribution to democratic and women's political leadership.

It is therefore important to distinguish the historical significance of Bhutto's leadership from a comprehensive evaluation of her government's policy performance. The former pertains to the transformation of political possibilities, while the latter addresses the effectiveness of specific administrations. Although political leadership and governmental performance are related, they are not synonymous. Acknowledging governance challenges does not diminish the significance of her political mobilization, democratic achievements, or pioneering role as a woman leader.

Gender constituted a significant dimension of Bhutto's negotiated authority. Her political identity integrated gender, Pakistani and Muslim identity, family heritage, and executive leadership. Consequently, her public presentation contributed to the construction of political legitimacy (Butler, 1997; Paxton & Hughes, 2017; Rai, 2002). Rather than viewing cultural identity and women's political leadership as inherently incompatible, Bhutto demonstrated that female political authority could be expressed within Pakistan's cultural and political context.

Bhutto's political resilience further reinforces the assessment of her leadership. After the dismissal of her first government in 1990, she returned to office in 1993 (Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014). This return demonstrated that her political influence was not solely dependent on the formal executive office. Her capacity to rebuild political support following setbacks reflected the enduring strength of her political identity, party organization, and constituency. In this regard, her career exemplifies Lukes's (2005) broader conception of political power.

The Pakistan People's Party (PPP) played a central role in Bhutto's political resilience. Her leadership enabled the party to remain a major political force during periods of both opposition and governance. The close association between the PPP and the Bhutto family also highlights the tension between personalized leadership and institutionalized party politics (Younis, 2026; Khosa

& Anjum, 2026). While personal leadership offers significant symbolic and organizational resources, sustainable democratic development requires political organizations that endure beyond individual leaders.

In summary, the evidence supports a positive yet balanced assessment of Bhutto's leadership. Her principal achievement was not the exercise of unrestrained political power, but rather her ability to construct and reconstruct political authority. She converted political inheritance into legitimacy, legitimacy into mobilization, mobilization into electoral authority, and political setbacks into renewed relevance. Her career thus exemplifies negotiated leadership, characterized by political agency exercised within institutional and social constraints.

CONCLUSION

This study analyzed Benazir Bhutto's leadership using the framework of negotiated leadership, with particular attention to legitimacy, mobilization, gender, institutional negotiation, and resilience. The findings indicate that her historical contribution extends beyond her two terms as Prime Minister. Her significance lies in the political possibilities she created through democratic participation, mass mobilization, party leadership, and advancing women's representation.

Bhutto transformed an inherited political identity into independent political leadership through sustained political participation, imprisonment, exile, and mobilization (Bhutto, 1989; Jalal, 1995). Her return to Pakistan in 1986 demonstrated her ability to mobilize significant public support, and the 1988 election converted that mobilization into formal democratic authority (Tarrow, 2011; Tilly, 2004; Younis, 2026). Her return to office in 1993 further underscored her political resilience.

Bhutto's election as Pakistan's first woman Prime Minister remains among her most significant historical achievements. This milestone established a powerful precedent for female executive leadership and demonstrated that women could attain the highest level of national political authority (Paxton & Hughes, 2017). While her election did not eradicate structural gender inequalities, it expanded the boundaries of political possibility for women in Pakistan.

This study acknowledges the limitations of Bhutto's political career. Her governments faced challenges in coalition politics, governance, institutional relations, and political stability (Burki, 2015; Rizvi, 2000; Jalal, 2014). Accordingly, the study does not claim that Bhutto single-handedly transformed Pakistan's political system or resolved the structural challenges facing women. Instead, her contribution is best understood as the expansion of political possibilities within existing structures.

Ultimately, Bhutto's enduring significance is found in the boundaries she expanded. She demonstrated that a woman could lead a major political party, mobilize a national constituency, secure electoral authority, and occupy the highest elected executive office in Pakistan. Her legacy thus extends beyond the PPP and the Bhutto family, contributing to the broader history of democracy and women's political leadership in Pakistan.

Benazir Bhutto's lasting contribution is her demonstration that political leadership can redefine the boundaries of possibility, even amid persistent institutional and social constraints. She

converted adversity into political agency, political agency into democratic legitimacy, and democratic legitimacy into a lasting legacy of civilian and women's leadership in Pakistan.

REFERENCES

Basu, A. (Ed.). (2016). *Women's movements in the global era: The power of local feminisms* (2nd ed). Westview Press.

Bhutto, B. (1989). *Daughter of the East*. Hamish Hamilton.

Burki, S. J. (2015). *Historical dictionary of Pakistan* (4th ed.). Rowman & Littlefield.

Butler, J. (1997). *Excitable speech: A politics of the performative*. Routledge.

Critelli, F. M., & Willett, J. (2013). Struggle and hope: Challenging gender violence in Pakistan. *Critical Sociology, 39*(2), 201–221. https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920512438780

Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality: Volume 1. An introduction* (R. Hurley, Trans.). Pantheon Books.

Jalal, A. (1995). *Democracy and authoritarianism in South Asia: A comparative and historical perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Jalal, A. (2014). *The struggle for Pakistan: A Muslim homeland and global politics*. Harvard University Press.

Khosa, S. L., & Anjum, J. (2026). Benazir Bhutto: Zulfikar and Benazir Bhutto's stable Pakistan, an elusive dream. In [Edited volume]. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-14590-1_3

Lukes, S. (2005). *Power: A radical view* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.

Muneer, S. M., & Aryal, S. K. (2026). Political and governance system of Pakistan. In [Edited volume]. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-21077-7_3

Paxton, P., & Hughes, M. M. (2017). *Women, politics, and power: A global perspective* (3rd ed.). CQ Press.

Rai, S. M. (2002). *Gender and the political economy of development: From nationalism to globalization*. Polity Press.

Rasul, A., McDowell, S. D., & Rasul, M. E. (2024). Secondary, security threat, and sage: Eulogy effect and the framing of female politicians as political martyrs in the elite press of South Asia. *Media International Australia*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X231163898

Rizvi, H. A. (2000). *Military, state and society in Pakistan*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Sajid, A. (2021). Military and Islamization in Pakistan from 1971 to 1999. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences*.

Shaheed, F. (2016). The women's movement in Pakistan: Challenges and achievements. In A. Basu (Ed.), *Women's movements in the global era: The power of local feminisms* (2nd ed., pp. 63–91). Westview Press.

Tarrow, S. (2011). *Power in movement: Social movements and contentious politics* (Rev. & updated 3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Tilly, C. (2004). *Social movements, 1768–2004*. Paradigm Publishers.

Waseem, M. (2025). Pakistan: A perennial quest for democracy and political stability. *The Round Table*. https://doi.org/10.1080/00358533.2025.2465725

Younis, B. (2026). Political dynamics and Pakistan Peoples Party's return to power in the 1988 elections. *ASSAJ*. https://www.assajournal.com/index.php/36/article/view/1985

Zia, A. S. (2009). The reinvention of feminism in Pakistan. *Feminist Review, 91*(1), 29–46. https://doi.org/10.1057/fr.2008.48